

To: Harringay Residents

WOOD GREEN TOWN PLAN (SPD) TRANSPORT IMPLICATIONS FOR THE HARRINGAY LADDER

Background

The Wood Green SPD document contains many sensible proposals for the improvement of the built environment of Wood Green Town Centre. However the document fails to place Wood Green in its proper context in relation to traffic and transport. Specifically, it fails to show a clear understanding of the impact of some of the proposals for the surrounding area. At no point in the document is there consideration of traffic flowing through – rather than within or to and from – Wood Green. By neglecting through traffic, it becomes easy to neglect the impact on surrounding areas of displaced through traffic resulting from proposals such as a Bus only High Road or to a lesser extent as a result of increases in congestion from removing bus lay-byes or removing the Shopping City bridge and replacing it with a ground level crossing.

TfL best practice guidance states that a Transport Assessment should be able to demonstrate how developments affect demands for travel and how all travel demands and service requirements will be met. Given recent experier

The theme of sustainability has a high profile within the document. This manifests itself through a number of propo

For more information visit [Harringay Online](#)

THIS PETITION WILL CLOSE AT MIDNIGHT ON 22nd JUNE 2008

Petition Statement

Closure of Wood Green High Road to vehicles other than buses and allowing south-bound traffic to turn right at S

We note that, inspite of its paying lip service to sustainability, and in the absence of proper traffic modelling the Cc

We believe that:

A direct consequence of some of the proposals in the SPD would be the displacement of traffic around Wood Green and, in particular, through the Harringay Ladder.

We demand that:

The Council have proper regard for planning guidance and conduct a thorough traffic assessment including a comprehensive survey and modelling exercise using independent expertise having consulted residents and interested parties in Harringay and St Ann's wards, amongst others, on the terms of reference of such work.

That no changes be made to the status of Wood Green High Road until such surveying and modelling is complete and interested parties have had a chance to consider and be consulted on the conclusions.

Sincerely,

NEW TRAFFIC THREAT!

- Well done everyone. Shopping City's plan for late night juggernauts was turned down in May, thanks to everyone who wrote in.
- **BUT:** The Council are proposing to close the High Road to traffic except buses during shopping hours.
- This means that all the High Road traffic will come down our streets, in addition to the traffic we have already.
- This means all day rush hour traffic - more cars and juggernauts coming down Park Avenue, Station Road, Mayes Road and Hornsey Park Road.
- To object to this, cut off the letter opposite, sign and post it to the address at the top - as soon as possible, and before Wednesday 25 June!

To: Deborah Hogan
Physical Regeneration Team
Haringey Council
Unit 212, Technopark
Ashley Road
Tottenham N17 9LN

RECEIVED

25 JUN 2008

Dear Ms Hogan

Re: Wood Green Town Centre SPD Consultation - Bus Only High Road proposal
I object to the proposal in the Wood Green Town Centre SPD Consultation Draft for the Council to consider a bus only High Road during shopping hours, for the following reasons:

1. The High Road currently has status as a London Distributor Route, second only in the hierarchy of routes in the network. The High Road is the major route North-South through the Borough, and serves to channel traffic away from residential streets. This road carries thousands of vehicles per day, both cars and Heavy Goods Vehicles.
2. Removal of this route from general use will generate a devastating increase in traffic on already heavily loaded side streets. Closing the High Road to traffic will have a massive impact on nearby streets as vehicles attempt to migrate from the High Road to other routes.
3. This can only serve to make even worse the disruption and degradation to the local quality of life caused by the noise, vibration and pollution from ever increasing levels of traffic in local streets. Traffic levels are a long running and serious issue in our area and the proposal will adversely affect many hundreds of residents.
4. The effects of elevated levels of background noise are well documented as causing illness. The mental and physical wellbeing of those living on streets through which displaced traffic will travel must be protected and must not be compromised.
5. Residents should be supported by efforts to ensure, maintain and improve environmental quality so that the central area of the Borough remains a place where people want to live. The proposal for a bus only High Road is unacceptable, and should be dropped.

Yours sincerely

(signature)

Date

Name

Address

Appendix G – Ducketts Common Survey

1. Which of the following change options would you most like to see implemented for Duckett's Common? Please score each option by ticking one number between 1 to 8 for each. Score the change you would most like to see with 1 and the one you are least interested in with 8. A different score must be given to each option, so that your preferences are clearly ranked between 1 and 8, e.g. you cannot score both "more sports facilities" and "Regular trimming of trees" with a 3.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Rating Average	Response Count
New footpath from Queen's Head pub to middle of common	14.1% (13)	9.8% (9)	8.7% (8)	19.6% (18)	7.6% (7)	10.9% (10)	14.1% (13)	15.2% (14)	4.62	92
Removal of black container by basketball courts	2.2% (2)	6.6% (6)	8.8% (8)	6.6% (6)	15.4% (14)	19.8% (18)	23.1% (21)	17.6% (16)	5.66	91
Refurbishment of railings around the common	6.6% (6)	13.2% (12)	17.6% (16)	15.4% (14)	20.9% (19)	15.4% (14)	9.9% (9)	1.1% (1)	4.22	91
More flowerbeds and planted areas	24.2% (22)	24.2% (22)	23.1% (21)	4.4% (4)	5.5% (5)	5.5% (5)	6.6% (6)	6.6% (6)	3.19	91
Regular trimming of trees	1.2% (1)	9.4% (8)	10.6% (9)	18.8% (16)	16.5% (14)	17.6% (15)	14.1% (12)	11.8% (10)	5.08	85
More sports facilities	4.3% (4)	7.6% (7)	16.3% (15)	13.0% (12)	9.8% (9)	17.4% (16)	15.2% (14)	16.3% (15)	5.11	92
New play area & café near the Turnpike Lane/Green Lanes junction	37.5% (36)	15.6% (15)	9.4% (9)	11.5% (11)	8.3% (8)	7.3% (7)	4.2% (4)	6.3% (6)	3.07	96
Control of pigeon feeding	11.7% (11)	16.0% (15)	6.4% (6)	12.8% (12)	13.8% (13)	6.4% (6)	12.8% (12)	20.2% (19)	4.72	94
								answered question		99
								skipped question		1